



# More evidence of cryptic diversity in *Anatololacerta* species complex Arnold, Arribas and Carranza, 2007 (Squamata: Lacertidae) and re-evaluation of its current taxonomy

Danae Karakasi<sup>1</sup>, Çetin Ilgaz<sup>2,3</sup>, Yusuf Kumlutaş<sup>2,3</sup>, Kamil Candan<sup>2</sup>, Özgür Güçlü<sup>4</sup>, Tolga Kankılıç<sup>5</sup>, Nurettin Beşer<sup>6</sup>, Roberto Sindaco<sup>7</sup>, Petros Lymberakis<sup>1</sup>, Nikos Poulakakis<sup>1,8,\*</sup>

Abstract. Genetic diversity is not always congruent with phenotypic heterogeneity, resulting in cryptic species complexes which cause a great struggle for scientists trying to define 'species' and describe relationships among taxa. *Anatololacerta* is a lizard genus distributed in southern and western Anatolia and some neighboring Aegean islands. Three morphospecies were recognized in *Anatololacerta* but a recent molecular study revealed the presence of cryptic diversity within the genus which led to the raise of a subspecies to species level. Currently the genus includes the species *A. anatolica, A. danfordi, A. budaki* and *A. pelasgiana*. Using a comprehensive sampling concerning both the number of specimens (218 specimens) and the genetic markers (3 nuclear and 3 mitochondrial), we performed phylogenetic analyses including tree reconstruction, species delimitation and divergence times estimation. The results revealed the occurrence of one more cryptic lineage which should be regarded as a separate species for which the name *A. ibrahimi stat. nov*. has priority. The existence of five well differentiated species with parapatric distributions in *Anatololacerta* is strongly supported. There is also evidence of recent and rapid radiation of the genus which probably causes phylogenetic relationships between these species to remain largely unresolved. At last, we proceeded to some nomenclatorial changes: The current name *A. budaki* was synonymized with *A. pelasgiana*. The genetic lineage including specimens currently assigned to *A. budaki* was named *A. finikensis stat. nov*, raising the subspecies *A. b. finikensis* to species level.

Keywords: Aegean, Anatolia, Anatololacerta finikensis, Anatololacerta ibrahimi, molecular phylogeny, species delimitation, species tree.

- Natural History Museum of Crete, School of Sciences and Engineering, University of Crete, Knossos Av, 71409 Irakleio, Greece
- 2 Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Dokuz Eylül University, Buca, İzmir, Turkey
- Research and Application Center for Fauna Flora, Dokuz Eylül University, Buca, İzmir, Turkey
- 4 Department of Plant and Animal Production, Sultanhisar Vocational School, Aydın Adnan Menderes University, Sultanhisar, Aydın, Turkey
- 5 Department of Biology, Faculty of Science and Arts, Aksaray University, Aksaray, Turkey
- 6 Department of Biology, Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Aydın Adnan Menderes University, Aydın, Turkey
- 7 Museo Civico di Storia Naturale, 10022 Carmagnola (Torino), Italy
- 8 Department of Biology, School of Sciences and Engineering, University of Crete, Vassilika Vouton, 70013, Irakleio, Greece

\*Corresponding author; e-mail: poulakakis@nhmc.uoc.gr

# Introduction

The lizard family Lacertidae Oppel, 1811, widely distributed throughout Eurasia and Africa, contains two subfamilies, the Gallotinae and the Lacertinae with the latter being further divided into the monophyletic tribes Lacertini and Eremiadini (Arnold, Arribas and Carranza, 2007). Phylogenetic relationships of the lizards belonging to this family have been studied the last decades (Fu, 1998, 2000; Arnold et al., 2007; Mayer and Pavlicev, 2007; Hipsley et al., 2009; Pavlicev and Mayer, 2009; Kapli et al., 2011; Engleder et al., 2013; Mendes et al., 2016). However, the phylogenetic position of the majority of taxa remains unknown (Mendes et al., 2016). Particularly in the tribe Lacertini, although all nineteen described genera (Arnold, Arribas and Carranza, 2007) appear to be monophyletic (Mendes et al., 2016), their

Heruntergeladen von Brill.com02/03/2021 08:04:02PM

phylogenetic relationships are mainly unresolved, probably due to multiple cladogenetic events in a geologically short time (Fu, 2000; Pavlicev and Mayer, 2009; Mendes et al., 2016).

This lack of phylogenetic resolution is also true for lower level taxa (Tamar et al., 2016; Psonis et al., 2017; Šmíd et al., 2017). Failure to reconstruct a phylogeny and recover the true historical relationships between any group of taxa could be the result of several methodological or evolutionary factors [e.g., sufficiency of reconstruction methods (Moran, Morgan and O'Connell, 2015), assumptions in the modeling of sequence evolution (Sullivan and Joyce, 2005), taxon or genome sampling (Rokas and Carroll, 2005), incomplete lineage sorting (Galtier and Daubin, 2008), hybridization (Degnan and Rosenberg, 2009), recent and/or rapid diversification (Philippe et al., 2011; Giarla and Esselstyn, 2015)].

Another major challenge which causes problems in the definition of taxa and inevitably in the description of their relationships is cryptic diversity. This happens when genetic diversity is not congruent with phenotypic heterogeneity, which is a common phenomenon within Lacertidae genera (Böhme et al., 2007; Pinho et al., 2007; Lue and Lin, 2008; Kaliontzopoulou et al., 2011, 2012; Barata et al., 2012; Schulte et al., 2012; Ahmadzadeh et al., 2013; Podnar et al., 2014; Tamar et al., 2015; Wagner et al., 2015; Freitas et al., 2016; Psonis et al., 2017; Šmíd et al., 2017; Greenbaum et al., 2018; Mendes et al., 2018) with Anatololacerta being one of them (Bellati et al., 2015; Candan et al., 2016).

Anatololacerta is an Eastern Mediterranean lacertid genus distributed in western and southern Turkey and some neighboring Aegean islands (Ikaria, Samos, Nisyros, Symi, Tragousa, Rhodes, Pentanisos, Kastellorizo and Psomi). Three species of this genus have been recognized based on morphological, ecological and zoogeographical information (Eiselt and Schmidtler 1986): Lacerta anatolica Werner, 1900, *Lacerta oertzeni* Werner, 1904 and *Lacerta danfordi* (Günther, 1876). In a Lacertini revision, Arnold et al. (2007) used a combination of morphological and molecular data which led to the resolution of the paraphyly in the genus *Lacerta* and seven new genera were described including *Anatololacerta*. The three above taxa were joined together under this new genus. Currently, according to a molecular study (Bellati et al., 2015) the genus includes four species: *A. anatolica* (Werner, 1900), *A. danfordi* (Günther, 1876), *A. budaki* (Eiselt and Schmidtler, 1986) and *A. pelasgiana* (Mertens, 1959).

The above study revealed that Anatololacerta is a very diversified taxon including cryptic variation. Yet the phylogenetic relationships of the four species were not fully resolved and taking into account the presence of one ambiguous clade, it is essential to further elucidate the phylogenetic history within the genus. Using a more comprehensive sampling both in terms of genomic sampling and geographic coverage (fig. 1), we performed phylogenetic reconstructions using nuclear DNA (nuDNA) and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) markers. Gene tree approaches were combined with coalescentbased species tree methods, haplotype networks, species delimitation as well as divergence time estimation to clarify the speciation processes and the delimitation of operational taxonomical units within Anatololacerta, revising its current taxonomy.

### Materials and methods

### Sample collection

A total of 218 Anatololacerta specimens were used in this study covering the distributional range of the genus. Sequences from several lacertid genera (*Hellenolacerta*, *Iberolacerta*, *Lacerta*, *Parvilacerta* and *Phoenicolacerta*) were used as outgroup and for age constraint comparisons. For the outgroup taxa, we used chimeric sequences (combination of several genetic data from multiple sources) in order to reach the maximum amount of genetic information for each species. All newly produced sequences have been deposited in GenBank. Information about all samples used in this study is presented in supplementary tables S1, S2 Cryptic diversity in the Mediterranean lizard Anatololacerta



**Figure 1.** a) Map containing sampling localities of *Anatololacerta* specimens used in this study. Different colors represent the five main phylogenetic lineages (species) indicated in our results. A case of sympatry between clades B and C which was discovered in a sampling locality is shown in the map with a small black dot. b) Type localities of subspecies *budaki* and *finikensis* (sensu Eiselt and Schmidtler, 1986). According to current nomenclature clade C is named *A. budaki*. But, specimens of the type-locality of *A. budaki* (2.5 km N Çobanisa) are assigned genetically to *A. pelasgiana*. Therefore, the name *A. budaki* should be synonymized with *A. pelasgiana*. Since the name *A. budaki* is not available for clade C, the clade should be named *Anatololacerta finikensis*, which is the next available name.

and supplementary text S1, while a map containing codes of each specimen and type localities of all subspecies is presented in supplementary fig. S1.

### DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

Total genomic DNA was isolated from ethanol-preserved museum specimens using a standard ammonium acetate extraction protocol from small tissue pieces (tail or leg muscle). Partial segments of three mtDNA genes [12S ribosomal RNA (12S rRNA), 16S ribosomal RNA (16S rRNA), cytochrome b (cyt b)] and three nuDNA genes [melanocortin 1 receptor (mc1r), oocyte maturation factor (c-mos), recombination activating gene 1 (rag1)] were selected for the phylogenetic analyses. Primers and conditions used in PCR amplifications and in cycle sequencing reactions are shown in supplementary table S3. Double stranded sequencing of the PCR products was performed using a Big-Dye Terminator Cycle sequencing Kit (v.3.1) on ABI 3730XL automated sequencer.

#### Sequence alignment and data analysis

Chromatographs were checked and sequences were corrected using the CodonCode Aligner v.8.0.2 (CodonCode Corporation). DNA sequences were aligned separately for each gene with MAFFT v.7 (Katoh and Standley, 2013) with default parameters. Ends of some sequences were cut to keep the same length in all. C-mos, cyt b, mc1r and rag1 gene fragments were translated into amino acids in order to ensure the absence of stop codons.

PHASE v.2.1.1 (Stephens et al., 2001) was used for nuclear gene fragments as it is implemented in DnaSP v.5.10.01 (Librado and Rozas, 2009) prior to alignment, in order to statistically infer the allelic sequences.

Sequence divergences were estimated in MEGA v.X (Kumar et al., 2018), for the main lineages of *Anatololacerta* revealed by the present phylogenetic analysis.

The sequence data were concatenated in three different matrices including 226 sequences. The first dataset included the three mtDNA fragments (mtDNA dataset), the second included the three nuDNA fragments (nuDNA dataset) and the third included both the mtDNA and the nuDNA fragments (combined dataset). All phylogenetic analysis were separately performed for each dataset as described below.

### Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic estimation was performed on the three different datasets using two methods; Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI).

Maximum Likelihood analysis was performed with RAxML v.8 (Stamatakis, 2014) under the Generalized timereversible + gamma (GTRGAMMA) model with 20 ML searches. The confidence of the branches of the best ML tree was further assessed based on 1000 rapid bootstrap replicates (using the GTRCAT model). Higher bootstrap values indicate stronger support for the particular branch/clade being analyzed.

Bayesian Inference analysis was performed in MrBayes v.3.2.1 (Ronquist et al., 2012), with six runs and five chains for each run for  $2 \times 10^7$  generations sampling every 1000 generations (see table S4 for details). The evolutionary models used for the BI analysis were selected for each gene separately using jModelTest v.2.1.7 (Darriba et al., 2012) based on the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) (Schwarz, 1978), ignoring the models that included both gamma distribution and invariable sites (Yang, 2006). Several MCMC diagnostics were used to check for convergence and stationarity [the plot of the generation versus the log probability of the data (the log likelihood values), the average standard deviation of split frequencies, the average Potential Scale Reduction Factor (PSRF) and the minimum value of minimum Estimated Sample Sizes (ESS)]. The first 25% of the trees were discarded by default, as a conservative measure to avoid the possibility of including random sub-optimal trees. A majority rule consensus tree was then produced from the posterior distribution of trees, and the posterior probabilities were calculated as the percentage of samples recovering any particular clade, where probabilities  $\ge 95\%$  indicate significant support.

All trees were visualized with FigTree v.1.4.2 (available at http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

To infer and visualize phylogenetic relationships within *Anatololacerta* complex, we performed haplotype network reconstructions in the six nuclear and mitochondrial DNA loci studied as well as in the concatenated mitochondrial dataset. Networks were constructed in PopART (http://popart.otago.ac.nz) (Leigh and Bryant, 2015) using the statistical parsimony algorithm TCS (Templeton, Crandall and Sing, 1992).

#### Species tree and species delimitation analysis

The multi-species coalescent-based method SVDQuartets (Chifman and Kubatko, 2014) implemented in PAUP v.4.0a165 (Swofford, 2003) for the combined dataset was used to infer the species tree. Using a coalescent model, this method infers the topology among randomly sampled quartets of predefined species (we assigned individuals to species according to the phylogenetic analysis results), and then a quartet method is used to assemble the sampled quartets into a species tree. The option of exhaustive search of quartet sampling was selected and the uncertainty in relationships was measured using non-parametric bootstrapping with 100 pseudo-replicates.

Species delimitation was performed using a single-locus coalescent-based method implemented in mPTP (Kapli et al., 2017). The mPTP analysis method uses single-locus data, in this case the mtDNA dataset, to fit the branching events of each delimited species to a particular exponential distribution, using ML optimization. mPTP assumes that branching events within species will be more frequent than between species, with each substitution having a small probability of generating branching events. The input file for mPTP is a binary rooted phylogenetic tree and we used the one produced by RAxML and the mtDNA dataset. The presence of very similar sequences may cause the method to falsely over split, so we calculated the minimum branch length to correct for this potential type of error. Two MCMC chains of 5  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> steps each were used to assess the confidence of the ML species delimitation. Convergence of each chain was assessed by calculating the average standard deviation of delimitation support values (ASDDSV). The ASDDSV is computed by averaging the standard deviation of per-node delimitation support values across all MCMC chains (Ronquist et al., 2012; Kapli et al., 2017), with values closer to zero indicating that the independent chains are converging on the same distribution. Finally, support for the species delimitation was estimated by calculating average support values (ASV) across the two runs where values close to one indicate high support for the ML species delimitation.

For comparison reasons joint Bayesian species delimitation and species tree estimation was conducted using the program BPP v.4.1.3 (Yang and Rannala, 2010; Rannala and Yang, 2013; Flouri et al., 2018) for the nuDNA dataset only since nuclear and mitochondrial loci have very different characteristics, including different mutation rates and effective population sizes, while the latter by being inherited as a single unit, thus have a single evolutionary history. The method uses the multi-species coalescent model to compare different models of species phylogeny in a Bayesian framework, accounting for incomplete lineage sorting (ILS) due to ancestral polymorphism and gene tree-species tree conflicts. The guide-trees used were the ones produced by the gene-tree analysis but different guide-tree topologies were used as well to examine their impact in the result. The population size parameters ( $\theta$ 's) were assigned the inverse gamma prior IG(3, 0.004) with mean 0.004/3-1 = 0.002. The divergence time at the root of the species tree  $(\tau_0)$  was assigned the inverse gamma prior IG(3, 0.004), with a mean of 0.002. To explore the impact of prior specifications to the posterior model probabilities we also used different values and combinations of  $\theta$ 's and  $\tau$ 's [ $\theta$  = IG(10, 0.018);  $\tau$  = IG(10, 0.018)]. We ran the analysis for  $25 \times 10^4$  generations with a burn-in of 8000. Each analysis was performed at least twice to confirm consistency between runs.

#### Chronophylogenetic analysis

We estimated relative divergence times using the \*BEAST method (Heled and Drummond, 2010) implemented in BEAST v.2.4.7. For this analysis we used a subset of the ingroup combined dataset including five individuals from each of the main clades indicated by the phylogenetic analysis (individuals used are marked with an asterisk in supplementary table S2). As in BI analysis, nucleotide substitution models were estimated according to the BIC criterion in jModelTest (supplementary table S4). Other prior specifications applied were Birth Death model for speciation, the Constant Population model for population model and the Uncorrelated Lognormal for describing the molecular clock. Because of the lack of internal calibration points in Anatololacerta we included the outgroup individual of Parvilacerta parva (Boulenger, 1887) and used as an external calibration point the split between Anatololacerta and Parvilacerta which according to Mendes et al. (2016) occurred 10.91 Mya. The analysis ran for  $1 \times 10^8$  generations with a sampling frequency of 1 per 5000 trees. Results were analyzed in Tracer v.1.7 (Rambaut et al., 2018) to assess effective sample sizes (ESSs) for all parameters. The -lnL was stabilized prior to  $1 \times 10^8$  and the first 10% of the sampled generations were discarded. The final tree with divergence estimates and their 95% highest posterior densities (HPD) was computed in TreeAnnotator (included in the BEAST package).

### Results

# Genetic differentiation and phylogenetic analysis

A total of 4000 base pairs (bp) were aligned for 218 *Anatololacerta* specimens in the combined dataset [mtDNA dataset: 1987 bp (12S rRNA: 359 bp, 16S rRNA: 485 bp, cyt *b*: 1143 bp); nuDNA dataset: 2013 bp (c-mos: 525 bp, mc1r: 532 bp, rag1: 956 bp)]. Variable and parsimony informative sites for each dataset is given in table 1.

The average of the pairwise genetic distances (p-distance) between and within the five major lineages of *Anatololacerta* revealed by the phylogenetic analysis and the outgroup specimen *Parvilacerta* are shown in table 2. As can be ascertained from table 2, the lowest divergence for all mitochondrial gene fragments is located

between clades C and D which appear as sister clades in the phylogenetic analysis. The highest distances observed are those between *Anatololacerta* lineages and *Parvilacerta*, with the highest being the one between *Parvilacerta* and *A. anatolica* (clade A) reaching 20% in cyt *b*. Regarding the divergence of nuDNA, clades A and E seem to be the most distant.

All MCMC diagnostic metrics indicated that the iterations of BI analysis reached convergence and stationarity for all datasets. The average standard deviation of split frequencies was less than 0.01 in nuDNA/mtDNA datasets and 0.016 for the combined dataset (according to MrBayes 3.2 manual, a rough guide is that an average SD < 0.01 is very good indication for convergence, while values between 0.01 and 0.05 may be adequate depending on the purpose and therefore the runs were considered as converged), the plot of generation versus log-likelihood of the data had characteristic "white-noise" morphology after burnin. In addition, for all parameters, the Potential Scale Reduction Factor (PSRF) values were near 1.00 (range 0.999-1.000) and Estimated Sample Sizes (ESS) values were well over 100 for all parameters.

Maximum Likelihood  $(-\ln L = 10029.92)$ and BI  $(-\ln L = 10453.27)$  analysis of the concatenated mtDNA data produced identical topologies (full resolution tree in supplementary fig. S2). Both analyses identified the presence of five well supported lineages. The first [clade A: posterior probability (pp) = 1.00, and bootstrap support (bs) = 89], corresponds to the species A. anatolica. It includes all populations north of Büyük Menderes River in Turkey and the insular populations of the

**Table 1.** Variable sites (V) and Parsimony Informative sites (PI) for each dataset. Both absolute numbers and presentences (%) are given.

	V		PI		
	ingroup	with outgroup	ingroup	with outgroup	
mtDNA	394 (19.83%)	617 (31%)	318 (16%)	480 (24.2%)	
nuDNA	116 (5.76%)	217 (10.8%)	75 (3.73%)	118 (5.86%)	
combined	510 (12.75%)	834 (20.9%)	393 (9.8%)	598 (15%)	

**Table 2.** Sequence divergences (%) among the five main lineages/clades of *Anatololacerta* and the outgroup (*Parvilacerta parva*). Values below the diagonal represent mtDNA distances ( $12S/16S/ \operatorname{cyt} b$ ) while values above the diagonal represent nuDNA distances (c-mos/mc1r/rag1). Values in diagonal are the within lineages sequence divergences ( $12S/16S/ \operatorname{cyt} b - \operatorname{c-mos/mc1r/rag1}$ ). n/c refers to not estimated values. All values are rounded to two decimal places.

Clade	Clade A	Clade B	Clade C	Clade D	Clade E	Parvilacerta parva
Clade A	0.3/0.73/0.91 -	0.51/0.6/0.82	0.24/0.66/0.88	0.59/0.73/0.78	0.68/0.75/1.0	3.08/1.91/3.36
	0.23/0.05/0.36					
Clade B	2.0/2.32/8.01	0.27/0.34/1.22 -	0.36/0.12/0.57	0.35/0.17/0.47	0.43/0.19/0.63	3.08/1.54/3.24
		0.26/0.25/0.31				
Clade C	2.06/3.04/8.36	2.84/4.23/7.76	0.05/0.82/0.55 -	0.46/0.19/0.55	0.55/0.24/0.75	2.95/1.58/3.31
			0.12/0.09/0.53			
Clade D	2.34/2.24/9.06	3.12/3.34/8.06	0.33/1.79/3.57	0.24/0.73/2.24 -	0.34/0.29/0.51	3.26/1.64/3.18
				0.26/0.27/0.41		
Clade E	1.87/2.06/8.54	2.68/3.34/8.2	1.55/3.96/8.53	1.83/3.0/8.59	0.39/0.32/1.53 -	3.37/1.66/3.29
					0.18/0.06/0.41	
Parvilacerta parva	8.26/10.8/20.01	7.99/11.95/18.46	8.62/10.84/18.55	8.72/10.12/19.32	8.45/10.99/19.17	n/c

Greek islands Samos and Ikaria. The second clade (clade B: pp = 1, bs = 100) corresponding to A. pelasgiana, includes the populations from Muğla Province, the mainland populations spread across the provinces of central Denizli and northwestern Antalya in Turkey and the insular populations inhabiting the Greek islands Symi and Rhodes. Clades C and D, inhabiting southcentral Turkey, appear as sister clades in the phylogenetic trees. The first one (clade C: pp = 1, bs = 99) corresponding to the taxon currently named A. budaki [or A. finikensis (Eiselt and Schmidtler, 1986) stat.nov., see Taxonomic rearrangements], includes populations distributed in the west part of Antalya Province in Turkey and the Greek islet Psomi. The second one is a new Anatololacerta genetic lineage (clade D: pp = 1, bs = 77) and includes populations from Burdur city to Göksu River in Turkey. This clade was also observed in the previous study (clade V of Bellati et al., 2015) but was not described as a separate species as its relationship with the rest of the clades was ambiguous probably due to poor sampling. Finally, the fifth clade (clade E: pp = 1, bs =94) which corresponds to A. danfordi, includes all the populations east of Göksu River. Relationships between clades were poorly resolved except from the close relation of clade C and D (pp = 1, bs = 99) and the differentiation of clade E (pp = 1, bs = 100).

Phylogenetic analysis of the concatenated nuDNA data with ML and BI (trees not shown), produced unresolved trees with almost no support in any branch concerning *Anatololacerta* specimens. The only information obtained is the differentiation of *A. anatolica* (clade A: pp = 1, bs = 68) from the other branches.

Maximum Likelihood (-lnL = 16815.47)and BI (-lnL = 18005.33) analysis of the combined dataset produced identical topologies (fig. 2). The analysis recovered the same five major monophyletic clades (A-E) as the analysis of the mitochondrial data but with a slightly different topology although with higher support values for the phylogenetic relationships among lineages. Clades C and D still appears to be the closest lineages. This sister-group relationship with clades E and B is not well resolved (pp = 0.77, bs = 32 and pp = 0.91, bs = 55 respectively). Clade A seems to be the first branch-off.

Haplotype network for *Anatololacerta* inferred from concatenated mtDNA is shown in fig. 3. Networks of the six individual loci are given in supplementary figs S3 and S4. Patterns of mtDNA mostly agree with the output of phylogenetic analysis. There is a case of allele sharing in 16S between clades A and D and in 12S between clades C and D. In nuDNA, very high levels of allele sharing were observed suggesting possible incomplete lineage sorting. Alleles of c-mos are shared across all species while in



Figure 2. Phylogeny based on the combined dataset, species delimitation and age estimates. Bayesian posterior probabilities (pp) and maximum likelihood bootstrap support (bs) values are represented in the form pp/bs above or beside nodes. Age estimates are represented below between brackets, in million years. Black dots on branches indicate posterior probability equal to 1.0 of BPP species delimitation analyses. Purple dots represent bs values of species tree estimation and are shown only if  $\geq 85$ .

rag1 and mc1r, alleles of Clade A are private. Rag1 presents a high level of variation with the presence of multiple haplotypes in all clades while the differentiation in c-mos is very low.

Regarding the outgroup lineages, taking into account the phylogenetic trees and p-distances, our study confirms the phylogenetic affinity of *Anatololacerta* and *Parvilacerta* indicated by other authors (Arnold, Arribas and Carranza, 2007; Hipsley et al., 2009; Mendes et al., 2016).

# Species tree estimation and species delimitation analysis

Species tree estimation was not able to resolve relationships among the five main clades except

from the sister relationship between clades C and D (bs = 85). Species delimitation analysis with BPP strongly supported the presence of five well differentiated species with posterior probability (pp) for presence of nodes equal to 1.0 in all nodes, when a 5-species assignment was used as input. Different prior combinations and guide-tree topologies had no impact on this result. mPTP analysis delimited 7 species as clade B was split into three species.

## Divergence times

According to the results, the first split in *Anatololacerta* occurred in Early Pleistocene approximately 1.62 Mya with the separation of



Figure 3. TCS haplotype network for *Anatololacerta* inferred from concatenated mtDNA (12S, 16S, cyt *b*). Circles represent different haplotypes, and the size is proportional to sample frequency. Codes of haplotypes corresponding to individuals used in this study are given in table S2. Mutation steps are represented by black bars. Names and colors correspond to clades produced by phylogenetic analysis.

*A. anatolica* (95% HDP 1.06-2.25 Mya). This result is close to the date Bellati et al. (2015) proposed (2.29 Mya). *Anatololacerta pelasgiana* and *A. danfordi* diverged 1.52 and 1.15 Mya, respectively whereas the split between *A. budaki* and clade D seems to be very recent (0.56 Mya).

### Discussion

In this study we used 173 newly generated and 45 previously published DNA sequences from 6 loci to validate the taxa included in the *Anatololacerta* species-complex. Our results reveal the presence of five well differentiated species, hence one more than the current taxonomy of the genus. This new species is distributed between the city of Burdur and the Göksu River (yellow color in fig. 1). According to Eiselt and

Schmidtler (1986) this is an area where ranges of two taxa, ssp. *bileki* and ssp. *ibrahimi*, partially overlap. It is also an area where the sampling of the previous phylogenetic study of *Anatololacerta* (Bellati et al., 2015) was limited. The current study indicates the presence of only one distinct species in this area.

It is the second cryptic lineage revealed in *Anatololacerta* after the one indicated by Bellati et al. (2015). Similar cases of cryptic lineages in amphibians and reptiles of Anatolia have been reported in the past (Plötner et al., 2001; Fritz et al., 2007; Kyriazi et al., 2008; Akın et al., 2010; Skourtanioti et al., 2016), highlighting the important role of the mountains of the area in speciation processes and as "hotspots" of biodiversity (Çıplak, 2003; Çıplak, 2004; Kornilios et al., 2011; Sindaco et al., 2014, Gül, Kumlutaş and Ilgaz, 2015).

Our field sampling shows that ranges of the five species are non-overlapping. Only one case of coexistence of two species was observed between clades B and C indicating the presence of a contact zone between the two species (fig. 1). Divergence within the species complex of Anatololacerta has been suggested to be allopatric (Bellati et al., 2015). The five lineages-species have probably been genetically isolated for a long time in multiple distinct refugia (Bellati et al., 2015). Bellati et al. (2015) suggested that geological factors such as wide sea-level changes and extensive tectonic uplifts of landmasses at the Plio-Pleistocene boundary (Kosswig, 1955; Davis, Harper and Hedge, 1971; Schmidtler, 1998) and Quaternary climatic oscillations resulting in southwards progression of ice sheets and intense aridification during phases of cooling (Avise, 2000; Hewitt, 2001, 2004) have affected the distribution of Anatololacerta ancestor, triggering the evolution and allopatric divergences of various lineages within Anatolia. The speciation of A. anatolica could be further explained by the presence of a physical barrier resulted from tectonic events in the area of Büyük Menderes River (Bellati et al., 2015). Populations north of the river have probably been isolated since then. A similar case could be true for the populations comprising A. danfordi the eastern-most distribution of the genus which is isolated east of the Göksu River. The excavation of the Göksu gorge, which is a deeply incised valley along the lower reaches of the Göksu River, started in the late Pliocene, some 2.5 Mya (Walsh-Kennedy et al., 2014). More research in the zones where two species meet regarding hybridization, the existence of contact zones or isolation is required in order to assess the evolutionary history and biogeography of the genus.

Intraspecific genetic structure in the sense of high support in the internal nodes within species, has been observed in all species. In *A. pelasgiana* for example, mPTP analysis delimited three species within this species. This does not mean that *A. pelasgiana* should be split into three species. Species should not be delimited using only genetic data because methods designed for this purpose are able to diagnose genetic structure, not species, and do not statistically distinguish structure associated with population isolation vs. species boundaries (Sukumaran and Knowles, 2017). In some cases (clades A, C and E) the genetic structure is strongly associated with spatial distribution (supplementary figs S1, S2). Further analysis of intraspecific diversity including population genetics, ecology and morphology would shed light into the relationships of taxa within species.

According to divergence times analysis speciation in Anatololacerta initiated in Early Pleistocene and could therefore be characterized recent. It is also rapid if we consider that the first three speciation events occurred in less than 0.5 million years. Rapid speciation has already been proposed for the entire family of Lacertidae (Fu, 2000; Pavlicev and Mayer, 2009; Mendes et al., 2016). A similar case was recently suggested for Lyciasalamandra that has a similar range of distribution and species/genetic diversity (Veith et al., 2016, 2020). Recent and rapid radiation phenomena are often the reason of failure to recover a wellsupported phylogeny since little time exists for the evolved characters to sort to species. The amount of genetic data used in the present study did not provide enough information about phylogenetic relationships in species level. Obtaining data from as much of the genome as possible will increase the odds of including the few characters that provide phylogenetic resolution. Genome-wide SNP data are broadly used to address similar evolutionary problems (Giarla and Esselstyn, 2015; Leaché et al., 2015, 2016; Meiklejohn et al., 2016; Grummer et al., 2018; Blair et al., 2019; Dufresnes et al., 2019a,b) and can easily be recovered and analyzed using modern sequence technology (Elshire et al., 2011; Griffin, Robin and Hoffmann, 2011; Peterson et al., 2012) and

sophisticated new analytical models (McCormack et al., 2013; Aberer, Kobert and Stamatakis, 2014; Kozlov, Aberer and Stamatakis, 2015). Genome-wide SNP data might be the only way, so far, to improve the resolution of the phylogeny.

Nevertheless, the existence of five welldifferentiated species is strongly supported by our results. Ideally, species should be identified using an integrative taxonomy approach involving data from multiple sources such as genetics, morphology, ecology, behavior and geography (Padial et al., 2010; Guillot et al., 2012). In order for the new lineage that appeared in our analysis not to remain unnamed and in line with the independently evolving species concept (De Queiroz, 2007) and the phylogenetic species concept, we recommend the following taxonomic rearrangements.

### Taxonomic rearrangements

Taxonomy of *Anatololacerta* should be changed as follows:

### Anatololacerta anatolica (Werner, 1900)

Lacerta anatolica Werner 1900 Lacerta oertzeni Werner 1904 Lacerta anatolica – Mehely 1909 Lacerta (Archaeolacerta) danfordi anatolica – Mertens 1952 Lacerta danfordi anatolica - Wettstein 1967 Lacerta danfordi anatolica – Budak 1976 Lacerta danfordi anatolica – Langerwerf 1981 Lacerta anatolica aegaea Eiselt and Schmidtler 1986 Lacerta oertzeni oertzeni Eiselt and Schmidtler 1986 Lacerta danfordi oertzeni - Engelmann et al. 1993 Lacerta danfordi - Baran and Atatür 1998 Archaeolacerta danfordi - Sindaco et al. 2000 Anatololacerta oertzeni – Arnold et al. 2007 Anatololacerta anatolica - Arnold et al. 2007 Anatololacerta anatolica aegaea - Franzen and Glaw 2007

Anatololacerta anatolica anatolica – Franzen et al. 2008

Anatololacerta anatolica aegaea – Franzen et al. 2008

Lacerta anatolica – Güçlü and Olgun 2008 Lacerta oertzeni – Valakos et al. 2008 Lacerta anatolica – Valakos et al. 2008

Anatololacerta anatolica – Baran et al. 2012

Anatololacerta anatolica – Bellati et al. 2015

**Type specimen**: *Lacerta anatolica* Werner, 1900. NMW 15120 (Naturhistorisches Museum Wien – Natural History Museum of Vienna, Austria).

**Type locality**: Gökçekısık, Eskişehir Province, Turkey.

**Taxa included**: Anatololacerta anatolica (Werner, 1900); Anatololacerta anatolica oertzeni (Werner, 1904); Anatololacerta anatolica aegaea (Eiselt and Schmidtler, 1986).

**Distribution**: Western Anatolia north of the Büyük Menderes River (Turkey), including insular populations from Samos and Ikaria (Greece).

# Anatololacerta danfordi (Günther, 1876)

Zootoca danfordi Günther 1876 Podarcis danfordi - Camerano 1877 Lacerta danfordi - Bedriaga 1879 Lacerta danfordi – Mehely 1909 Lacerta danfordi – Venzmer 1918 Lacerta danfordi - Bird 1936 Lacerta danfordi danfordi - Wettstein 1967 Lacerta danfordi quanttaylori – Börner 1974 Lacerta danfordi – Clark and Clark 1973 Lacerta danfordi danfordi - Eiselt and Schmidtler 1986 Lacerta danfordi bileki - Eiselt and Schmidtler 1986 Lacerta oertzeni ibrahimi – Eiselt and Schmidtler 1986 Lacerta danfordi - Baran and Atatür 1998 Archaeolacerta danfordi - Sindaco et al. 2000 Lacerta danfordi - Baran et al. 2001 Lacerta danfordi danfordi - Cevik et al. 2006 Anatololacerta danfordi - Arnold et al. 2007 Anatololacerta danfordi – Baran et al. 2012

- Lacerta (Anatololacerta) danfordi Sindaco and Jeremčenko 2008
- Anatololacerta danfordi bileki Bellati et al. 2015

Anatololacerta danfordi danfordi – Bellati et al. 2015

Anatololacerta danfordi – Bellati et al. 2015 Anatololacerta danfordi – Candan et al. 2014

**Type specimen**: *Zootoca danfordi* Günther, 1876. No collection information mentioned in first description. Eiselt and Schmidtler (1986) mention multiple syntypes.

**Type locality**: Sebil, Bolkar Mountains, altitude 1200 m, Mersin Province, Turkey.

**Taxa included**: Anatololacerta danfordi danfordi (Günther, 1876), Lacerta oertzeni ibrahimi partim Eiselt and Schmidtler, 1986.

**Distribution**: Southeastern Anatolia, east of Göksu River, Turkey.

**Remarks**: Specimens of *Anatololacerta danfordi bileki* (Eiselt and Schmidtler, 1986) presently assigned to *Anatololacerta danfordi*, belong to clade D which should be recognised as a different species with the name *Anatololacerta ibrahimi*.

The easternmost populations of the genus [population group VII in figure S1 and population group "k" in Eiselt and Schmidtler (1986)], were assigned morphologically to *Lacerta oertzeni ibrahimi* by Eiselt and Schmidtler (1986). Phylogenetic analysis of the present study assigns these populations in *Anatololacerta danfordi*. More research regarding morphology of this population group is required in order to confirm our results.

- Anatololacerta finikensis (Eiselt and Schmidtler, 1986) stat. nov.
- Lacerta oertzeni finikensis Eiselt and Schmidtler 1986
- Lacerta oertzeni budaki Eiselt and Schmidtler 1986

Lacerta danfordi – Baran and Atatür 1998

Archaeolacerta danfordi - Sindaco et al. 2000

Anatololacerta oertzeni finikensis – Franzen et al. 2008

Anatololacerta oertzeni – Baran et al. 2012

- Anatololacerta oertzeni finikensis Bellati et al. 2015
- Anatololacerta budaki finikensis Bellati et al. 2015

**Type specimen**: *Lacerta oertzeni finikensis* Eiselt and Schmidtler, 1986. NMW 18355.

**Type locality**: Approximately 3 km southwest of Finike, by the cave above the coastal road, Antalya Province, Turkey.

**Taxa included**: Anatololacerta budaki finikensis (Eiselt and Schmidtler, 1986), Lacerta oertzeni ibrahimi partim Eiselt and Schmidtler, 1986.

**Distribution**: Southwestern Anatolia in the west part of Antalya Province (Turkey) and Psomi islet (Greece).

**Remarks**: According to current nomenclature, clade C is named *A. budaki*. But, specimens of the type-locality of *A. budaki* (2.5 km N Çobanisa) are assigned genetically to *A. pelasgiana*. Therefore, the name *A. budaki* should be synonymized with *A. pelasgiana*.

Since the name *A. budaki* is not available for clade C, the name *Anatololacerta finikensis* is the next available name and has priority. The name was first used in 1986 by Eiselt and Schmidtler and refers to its type locality which is near Finike.

Populations close to Antalya [population group V in supplementary fig. S1 and population group "i" in Eiselt and Schmidtler (1986)], were assigned morphologically to *Lacerta oertzeni ibrahimi* by Eiselt and Schmidtler (1986). Phylogenetic analysis of the present study assigns these populations in clade C = Anatololacerta finikensis. More research regarding morphology of this population group is required in order to confirm our results.

# Anatololacerta ibrahimi (Eiselt and Schmidtler, 1986) stat. nov.

Lacerta danfordi – Bird 1936 Lacerta danfordi – Bodenheimer 1944 Lacerta (Archaeolacerta) danfordi danfordi – Mertens 1952 Lacerta danfordi oertzeni – Wettstein 1967

- Lacerta oertzeni ibrahimi Eiselt and Schmidtler 1986
- Lacerta danfordi bileki Eiselt and Schmidtler 1986
- Lacerta danfordi Baran and Atatür 1998
- Archaeolacerta danfordi Sindaco et al. 2000
- Lacerta oertzeni ibrahimi Kumlutaş et al. 2003
- Lacerta danfordi bileki Kumlutaş et al. 2003
- Lacerta danfordi bileki Çevik et al. 2006
- Anatololacerta oertzeni ibrahimi Franzen et al. 2008
- Anatololacerta oertzeni Baran et al. 2012
- Anatololacerta danfordi bileki Bellati et al. 2015
- Anatololacerta oertzeni ibrahimi Bellati et al. 2015
- Anatololacerta oertzeni Candan et al. 2014 Anatololacerta danfordi – Ege et al. 2015
- **Type specimen**: *Lacerta oertzeni ibrahimi* Eiselt and Schmidtler, 1986. ZSM 59/1983 (Zoologische Staatssammlung München – Bavarian State Collection of Zoology, Germany).
- **Type locality**: Approximately 20 km northwest of Anamur, Mersin Province, Turkey.
- **Taxa included**: *Lacerta oertzeni ibrahimi* Eiselt and Schmidtler, 1986 and *Anatololacerta danfordi bileki* (Eiselt and Schmidtler, 1986).
- **Distribution**: South Anatolia from Burdur city to Göksu River, Turkey.
- **Remarks**: This name corresponds to clade D which according to our findings should be recognised as a separate species. It was first used in 1986 by Eiselt and Schmidtler dedicated to the Turkish herpetologist, Prof. Ibrahim Baran. It is the oldest available name for the taxon; thus, according to the principle of priority of the ICZN (International Code of Zoological Nomenclature), should be used to name this genetic lineage.
- Anatololacerta pelasgiana (Mertens, 1959) Lacerta oertzeni pelasgiana Mertens 1959 Lacerta danfordi pentanisiensis Wettstein 1964

Lacerta danfordi pelasgiana – Budak 1976 Lacerta oertzeni pelasgiana - Eiselt and Schmidtler 1986 Lacerta danfordi pelasgiana – Engelmann et al. 1993 Lacerta danfordi - Baran and Atatür 1998 Lacerta danfordi – Baran and Kumlutas 1999 Archaeolacerta danfordi - Sindaco et al. 2000 Lacerta oertzeni budaki - Kumlutaş et al. 2003 Anatololacerta oertzeni pelasgiana - Schlüter 2010 Anatololacerta oertzeni pelasgiana – Franzen et al. 2008 Lacerta danfordi - Güçlü and Olgun 2008 Anatololacerta oertzeni - Baran et al. 2012 Anatololacerta oertzeni quandttaylori – Bellati

Lacerta danfordi quandttaylori Börner 1974

- Anatololacerta pelasgiana Bellati et al. 2015
- Anatololacerta pelasgiana Kalaentzis et al. 2018
- Anatololacerta budaki syn. nov.

et al. 2015

- **Type specimen**: *Lacerta danfordi pelasgiana* Mertens, 1959. SMF 55228 (Senckenberg Museum, Frankfurt – Senckenberg Natural History Museum, Germany).
- **Type locality**: Harbor Wall of Rhodes town, Rhodes island, Greece.
- **Taxa included**: Anatololacerta pelasgiana pelasgiana (Mertens, 1959), Anatololacerta pelasgiana quandttaylori (Börner, 1974) and Anatololacerta budaki budaki (Eiselt and Schmidtler, 1986).
- **Distribution**: Southwestern Anatolia and the Greek islands Symi and Rhodes.
- **Remarks**: No specimens of Pentanisos islet, the type locality of the subspecies *Anatololacerta oertzeni pentanisiensis*, were used in this study. It most probably belongs to *Anatololacerta pelasgiana* but it should be investigated.

# Conclusions

Five well differentiated species with distinct spatial distributions have been identified one of which is presented here for the first time. It is the second cryptic lineage revealed in Anatololacerta (the first was indicated by Bellati et al., 2015). Other cases of cryptic lineages in amphibians and reptiles of Anatolia have been reported in the past (Plötner et al., 2001; Fritz et al., 2007; Kyriazi et al., 2008; Akın et al., 2010), highlighting the importance of the mountains of the area as "hotspots" of biodiversity (Çiplak, 2003; Çiplak, 2004; Kornilios et al., 2011; Sindaco et al., 2014, Gül, Kumlutas and Ilgaz, 2015). Our findings support a Pleistocenic rapid radiation of the Anatololacerta species group. This might be the reason that phylogenetic relationships between species to remain largely unresolved. More research is needed regarding more genetic loci, morphology, behavior and ecology to confirm our results and achieve a robust delimitation and taxonomy of the species included as well as the reconstruction of their evolutionary history.

Acknowledgements. This study was partly supported by TÜBİTAK (The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey) with project number 112T269. We thank the editors Carranza S. and Tamar K., the reviewer Hawlitschek O. as well as one anonymous reviewer for their really helpful comments.

**Supplementary material.** Supplementary material is available online at: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13525862

### References

- Aberer, A.J., Kobert, K., Stamatakis, A. (2014): Exabayes: massively parallel Bayesian tree inference for the wholegenome era. Mol. Biol. Evol. 31: 2553-2556.
- Ahmadzadeh, F., Flecks, M., Carretero, M.A., Mozaffari, O., Böhme, W., Harris, D.J., Freitas, S., Rödder, D. (2013): Cryptic speciation patterns in Iranian rock lizards uncovered by integrative taxonomy. PLoS One 8: e80563.
- Akın, C., Bilgin, C., Beerli, P., Westaway, R., Ohst, T., Litvinchuk, S.N., Uzzell, T., Bilgin, M., Hotz, H., Guex, G.D., Plötner, J. (2010): Phylogeographic patterns of genetic diversity in eastern Mediterranean water frogs have been determined by geological processes and climate change in the Late Cenozoic. J. Biogeogr. 37: 2111-2124.

- Arnold, E.N., Arribas, O., Carranza, S. (2007): Systematics of the palaearctic and oriental lizard tribe Lacertini (Squamata: Lacertidae: Lacertinae), with descriptions of eight new genera. Zootaxa 1430: 1-86.
- Avise, J.C. (2000): Phylogeography: the History and Formation of Species. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Baran, İ., Atatür, M.K. (1998): Turkish Herpetofauna (Amphibians and Reptiles). Republic of Turkey, Ministry of Environment, Ankara, Turkey.
- Barata, M., Carranza, S., Harris, D.J. (2012): Extreme genetic diversity in the lizard *Atlantolacerta andreanskyi* (Werner, 1929): a montane cryptic species complex. BMC Evol. Biol. **12**: 167.
- Bellati, A., Carranza, S., Garcia-Porta, J., Fasola, M., Sindaco, R. (2015): Cryptic diversity within the *Anatololacerta* species complex (Squamata: Lacertidae) in the Anatolian Peninsula: evidence from a multi-locus approach. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. **82**: 219-233.
- Blair, C., Bryson, R.W. (2017): Cryptic diversity and discordance in single-locus species delimitation methods within horned lizards (Phrynosomatidae: *Phrynosoma*). Mol. Ecol. Resour. **17**: 1168-1182.
- Blair, C., Bryson, R.W., Linkem, C.W., Lazcano, D., Klicka, J., McCormack, J.E. (2019): Cryptic diversity in the Mexican highlands: thousands of UCE loci help illuminate phylogenetic relationships, species limits and divergence times of montane rattlesnakes (Viperidae: *Crotalus*). Mol. Ecol. Resour. **19**: 349-365.
- Böhme, M.U., Fritz, U., Kotenko, T., Džukić, G., Ljubisavljević, K., Tzankov, N., Berendonk, T.U. (2007): Phylogeography and cryptic variation within the *Lacerta viridis* complex (Lacertidae, Reptilia). Zool. Scr. 36: 119-131.
- Candan, K., Kankılıç, T., Güçlü, Ö., Kumlutaş, Y., Durmuş, S.H., Lymberakis, P., Poulakakis, N., Ilgaz, Ç. (2016): First assessment on the molecular phylogeny of *Anatololacerta* (Squamata, Lacertidae) distributed in southern Anatolia; insights from mtDNA and nDNA markers. Mitochondrial DNA **27**: 2285-2292.
- Çevik, E., Başkale, E., Kaya, U., Turgay, F. (2006): Taxonomic status of some *Lacerta danfordi* (Günther, 1876) populations. Russ. J. Herpetol. **13**: 89-92.
- Chifman, J., Kubatko, L. (2014): Quartet inference from SNP data under the coalescent model. Bioinformatics 30: 3317-3324.
- Çiplak, B. (2003): Distribution of Tettigoniinae (Orthoptera, Tettigoniidae) bush-crickets in Turkey: the importance of the Anatolian Taurus Mountains in biodiversity and implications for conservation. Biodivers. Conserv. 12: 47-64.
- Çiplak, B. (2004): Biogeography of Anatolia: the marker group Orthoptera. Mem. Della Soc. Entomol. Ital. 82: 357-372.
- Darriba, D., Taboada, G.L., Doallo, R., Posada, D. (2012): JModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nat. Methods 9: 772.
- Davis, P.H., Harper, P.C., Hedge, I.C. (1971): Distribution patterns in Anatolia with particular reference to endemism. In: Plant Life of South-West Asia, p. 15-27. The Botanical Society of Edinburgh, Edinburgh.

- Degnan, J.H., Rosenberg, N.A. (2009): Gene tree discordance, phylogenetic inference and the multispecies coalescent. Trends Ecol. Evol. 24: 332-340.
- Dufresnes, C., Mazepa, G., Jablonski, D., Oliveira, R.C., Wenseleers, T., Shabanov, D.A., Auer, M., Ernst, R., Koch, C., Ramírez-Chaves, H.E., Mulder, K.P., Simonov, E., Tiutenko, A., Kryvokhyzha, D., Wennekes, P.L., Zinenko, O.I., Korshunov, O.V., Al-Johany, A.M., Peregontsev, E.A., Masroor, R., Betto-Colliard, C., Denoël, M., Borkin, L.J., Skorinov, D.V., Pasynkova, R.A., Mazanaeva, L.F., Rosanov, J.M., Dubey, S., Litvinchuk, S. (2019a): Fifteen shades of green: the evolution of *Bufotes* toads revisited. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 141.
- Dufresnes, C., Strachinis, I., Suriadna, N., Mykytynets, G., Cogălniceanu, D., Székely, P., Vukov, T., Arntzen, J.W., Wielstra, B., Lymberakis, P., Geffen, E., Gafny, S., Kumlutaş, Y., Ilgaz, Ç., Candan, K., Mizsei, E., Szabolcs, M., Kolenda, K., Smirnov, N., Géniez, P., Lukanov, S., Crochet, P.A., Dubey, S., Perrin, N., Litvinchuk, S.N., Denoël, M. (2019b): Phylogeography of a cryptic speciation continuum in Eurasian spadefoot toads (*Pelobates*). Mol. Ecol. **28**: 3257-3270.
- Eiselt, J., Schmidtler, J.F. (1986): Der Lacerta danfordicomplex (Reptilia: Lacertidae). Spixiana 9: 289-328.
- Elshire, R.J., Glaubitz, J.C., Sun, Q., Poland, J.A., Kawamoto, K., Buckler, E.S., Mitchell, S.E. (2011): A robust, simple genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) approach for high diversity species. PLoS One 6: e19379.
- Engleder, A., Haring, E., Kirchhof, S., Mayer, W. (2013): Multiple nuclear and mitochondrial DNA sequences provide new insights into the phylogeny of South African Lacertids (Lacertidae, Eremiadinae). J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res. **51**: 132-143.
- Flouri, T., Jiao, X., Rannala, B., Yang, Z. (2018): Species tree inference with BPP using genomic sequences and the multispecies coalescent. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35: 2585-2593.
- Freitas, S., Vavakou, A., Arakelyan, M., Drovetski, S.V., Crnobrnja-Isailović, J., Kidov, A.A., Cogălniceanu, D., Corti, C., Lymberakis, P., Harris, D.J., Carretero, M.A. (2016): Cryptic diversity and unexpected evolutionary patterns in the meadow lizard, *Darevskia praticola* (Eversmann, 1834). Syst. Biodivers. 14: 184-197.
- Fritz, U., Hundsdörfer, A.K., Široký, P., Auer, M., Kami, H., Lehmann, J., Mazanaeva, L.F., Türkozan, O., Wink, M. (2007): Phenotypic plasticity leads to incongruence between morphology-based taxonomy and genetic differentiation in western Palaearctic tortoises (*Testudo* graeca complex; Testudines, Testudinidae). Amphib. Reptil. 28: 97-121.
- Fu, J. (1998): Toward the phylogeny of the family Lacertidae: implications from mitochondrial DNA 12S and 16S gene sequences (Reptilia: Squamata). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 9: 118-130.
- Fu, J. (2000): Toward the phylogeny of the family Lacertidae – why 4708 base pairs of mtDNA sequences cannot draw the picture. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 71: 203-217.

- Galtier, N., Daubin, V. (2008): Dealing with incongruence in phylogenomic analyses. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 363: 4023-4029.
- Giarla, T.C., Esselstyn, J.A. (2015): The challenges of resolving a rapid, recent radiation: empirical and simulated phylogenomics of Philippine shrews. Syst. Biol. 64: 727-740.
- Greenbaum, E., Dowell Beer, S., Hughes, D.F., Wagner, P., Anderson, C.G., Villanueva, C.O., Malonza, P.K., Kusamba, C., Muninga, W.M., Aristote, M.M., Branch, W.R. (2018): Cryptic diversity in the highlands of east Africa. Herpetol. Monogr. 32: 51-68.
- Griffin, P.C., Robin, C., Hoffmann, A.A. (2011): A nextgeneration sequencing method for overcoming the multiple gene copy problem in polyploid phylogenetics, applied to *Poa* grasses. BMC Biol. **9**: 19.
- Grummer, J.A., Morando, M.M., Avila, L.J., Sites, J.W., Leaché, A.D. (2018): Phylogenomic evidence for a recent and rapid radiation of lizards in the Patagonian *Liolaemus fitzingerii* species group. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. **125**: 243-254.
- Guillot, G., Renaud, S., Ledevin, R., Michaux, J., Claude, J. (2012): A unifying model for the analysis of phenotypic, genetic, and geographic data. Syst. Biol. 61: 897-911.
- Gül, S., Kumlutaş, Y., Ilgaz, Ç. (2015): Climatic preferences and distribution of 6 evolutionary lineages of *Typhlops vermicularis* Merrem, 1820 in Turkey using ecological niche modeling. Turkish J. Zool. **39**: 235-243.
- Heled, J., Drummond, A.J. (2010): Bayesian inference of species trees from multilocus data. Mol. Biol. Evol. 27: 570-580.
- Hewitt, G.M. (2001): Speciation, hybrid zones and phylogeography – or seeing genes in space and time. Mol. Ecol. 10: 537-549.
- Hewitt, G.M. (2004): The structure of biodiversity insights from molecular phylogeography. Front. Zool. 1: 1-16.
- Hipsley, C.A., Himmelmann, L., Metzler, D., Müller, J. (2009): Integration of Bayesian molecular clock methods and fossil-based soft bounds reveals early Cenozoic origin of African lacertid lizards. BMC Evol. Biol. 9: 151.
- Kaliontzopoulou, A., Carretero, M.A., Llorente, G.A. (2012): Morphology of the *Podarcis* wall lizards (Squamata: Lacertidae) from the Iberian Peninsula and north Africa: patterns of variation in a putative cryptic species complex. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. **164**: 173-193.
- Kaliontzopoulou, A., Pinho, C., Harris, D.J., Carretero, M.A. (2011): When cryptic diversity blurs the picture: a cautionary tale from Iberian and north African *Podarcis* wall lizards. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. **103**: 779-800.
- Kapli, P., Lutteropp, S., Zhang, J., Kobert, K., Pavlidis, P., Stamatakis, A., Flouri, T. (2017): Multi-rate Poisson tree processes for single-locus species delimitation under maximum likelihood and Markov chain Monte Carlo. Bioinformatics 33: 1630-1638.
- Kapli, P., Poulakakis, N., Lymberakis, P., Mylonas, M. (2011): A re-analysis of the molecular phylogeny of Lacertidae with currently available data. Basic Appl. Herpetol. 25: 97-104.

- Katoh, K., Standley, D.M. (2013): MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30: 772-780.
- Kornilios, P., Ilgaz, Ç., Kumlutaş, Y., Giokas, S., Fraguedakis-Tsolis, S., Chondropoulos, B. (2011): The role of Anatolian refugia in herpetofaunal diversity: an mtDNA analysis of *Typhlops vermicularis* Merrem, 1820 (Squamata, Typhlopidae). Amphib. Reptil. **32**: 351-363.
- Kosswig, C. (1955): Zoogeography of the Near East. Syst. Zool. 4: 49-73.
- Kozlov, A.M., Aberer, A.J., Stamatakis, A. (2015): ExaML version 3: a tool for phylogenomic analyses on supercomputers. Bioinformatics 31: 2577-2579.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., Tamura, K. (2018): MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35: 1547-1549.
- Kyriazi, P., Poulakakis, N., Parmakelis, A., Crochet, P.A., Moravec, J., Rastegar-Pouyani, N., Tsigenopoulos, C.S., Magoulas, A., Mylonas, M., Lymberakis, P. (2008): Mitochondrial DNA reveals the genealogical history of the snake-eyed lizards (*Ophisops elegans* and *O. occidentalis*) (Sauria: Lacertidae). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 49: 795-805.
- Leaché, A.D., Banbury, B.L., Linkem, C.W., Oca, A.N.M.De (2016): Phylogenomics of a rapid radiation: is chromosomal evolution linked to increased diversification in North American spiny lizards (genus Sceloporus)? BMC Evol. Biol. 16: 63.
- Leaché, A.D., Chavez, A.S., Jones, L.N., Grummer, J.A., Gottscho, A.D., Linkem, C.W. (2015): Phylogenomics of phrynosomatid lizards: conflicting signals from sequence capture versus restriction site associated DNA sequencing. Genome Biol. Evol. 7: 706-719.
- Leigh, J.W., Bryant, D. (2015): POPART: full-feature software for haplotype network construction. Methods Ecol. Evol. 6: 1110-1116.
- Librado, P., Rozas, J. (2009): DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25: 1451-1452.
- Lue, K.-Y., Lin, S.-M. (2008): Two new cryptic species of *Takydromus* (Squamata: Lacertidae) from Taiwan. Herpetologica 64: 379-395.
- Mayer, W., Pavlicev, M. (2007): The phylogeny of the family Lacertidae (Reptilia) based on nuclear DNA sequences: convergent adaptations to arid habitats within the subfamily Eremiainae. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 44: 1155-1163.
- McCormack, J.E., Hird, S.M., Zellmer, A.J., Carstens, B.C., Brumfield, R.T. (2013): Applications of next-generation sequencing to phylogeography and phylogenetics. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 66: 526-538.
- Meiklejohn, K.A., Faircloth, B.C., Glenn, T.C., Kimball, R.T., Braun, E.L. (2016): Analysis of a rapid evolutionary radiation using ultraconserved elements: evidence for a bias in some multispecies coalescent methods. Syst. Biol. 65: 612-627.

- Mendes, J., Harris, D.J., Carranza, S., Salvi, D. (2016): Evaluating the phylogenetic signal limit from mitogenomes, slow evolving nuclear genes, and the concatenation approach. New insights into the Lacertini radiation using fast evolving nuclear genes and species trees. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 100: 254-267.
- Mendes, J., Salvi, D., Harris, D.J., Els, J., Carranza, S. (2018): Hidden in the Arabian mountains: multilocus phylogeny reveals cryptic diversity in the endemic *Omanosaura* lizards. J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res. 56: 395-407.
- Moran, R., Morgan, C., O'Connell, M. (2015): A guide to phylogenetic reconstruction using heterogeneous models – a case study from the root of the placental mammal tree. Computation 3: 177-196.
- Padial, J.M., Miralles, A., la Riva, I.De, Vences, M. (2010): The integrative future of taxonomy. Front. Zool. 7: 16.
- Pavlicev, M., Mayer, W. (2009): Fast radiation of the subfamily Lacertinae (Reptilia: Lacertidae): history or methodical artefact? Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 52: 727-734.
- Peterson, B.K., Weber, J.N., Kay, E.H., Fisher, H.S., Hoekstra, H.E. (2012): Double digest RADseq: an inexpensive method for de novo SNP discovery and genotyping in model and non-model species. PLoS One 7: e37135.
- Philippe, H., Brinkmann, H., Lavrov, D.V., Littlewood, D.T.J., Manuel, M., Wörheide, G., Baurain, D. (2011): Resolving difficult phylogenetic questions: why more sequences are not enough. PLoS Biol. 9: e1000602.
- Pinho, C., Harris, D.J., Ferrand, N. (2007): Comparing patterns of nuclear and mitochondrial divergence in a cryptic species complex: the case of Iberian and north African wall lizards (*Podarcis*, Lacertidae). Biol. J. Linn. Soc. **91**: 121-133.
- Plötner, J., Ohst, T., Böhme, W., Schreiber, R. (2001): Divergence in mitochondrial DNA of near Eastern water frogs with special reference to the systematic status of cypriote and Anatolian populations (Anura, Ranidae). Amphib. Reptil. 22: 397-412.
- Podnar, M., Bruvo Madarić, B., Mayer, W. (2014): Nonconcordant phylogeographical patterns of three widely codistributed endemic western Balkans lacertid lizards (Reptilia, Lacertidae) shaped by specific habitat requirements and different responses to Pleistocene climatic oscillations. J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res. 52: 119-129.
- Psonis, N., Antoniou, A., Kukushkin, O., Jablonski, D., Petrov, B., Crnobrnja-Isailović, J., Sotiropoulos, K., Gherghel, I., Lymberakis, P., Poulakakis, N. (2017): Hidden diversity in the *Podarcis tauricus* (Sauria, Lacertidae) species subgroup in the light of multilocus phylogeny and species delimitation. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. **106**: 6-17.
- Queiroz, K.De (2007): Species concepts and species delimitation. Syst. Biol. 56: 879-886.
- Rambaut, A., Drummond, A.J., Xie, D., Baele, G., Suchard, M.A. (2018): Posterior summarization in Bayesian phylogenetics using Tracer 1.7. Syst. Biol. 67: 901-904.
- Rannala, B., Yang, Z. (2013): Improved reversible jump algorithms for Bayesian species delimitation. Genetics 194: 245-253.

- Rokas, A., Carroll, S.B. (2005): More genes or more taxa? The relative contribution of gene number and taxon number to phylogenetic accuracy. Mol. Biol. Evol. 22: 1337-1344.
- Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., Mark, P. Van Der, Ayres, D.L., Darling, A., Höhna, S., Larget, B., Liu, L., Suchard, M.A., Huelsenbeck, J.P. (2012): Mrbayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst. Biol. **61**: 539-542.
- Schmidtler, J.F. (1998): Verbreitungsstrukturen der Herpetofauna im Taurus-Gebirge, Türkei (Amphibia; Reptilia). Faun. Abhandlungen, Staatl. Museum Für Tierkd. Dresden 21: 133-148.
- Schulte, U., Gassert, F., Geniez, P., Veith, M., Hochkirch, A. (2012): Origin and genetic diversity of an introduced wall lizard population and its cryptic congener. Amphibia-Reptilia 33: 129-140.
- Schwarz, G. (1978): Estimating the dimension of a model. Ann. Stat. 6: 461-464.
- Sindaco, R., Kornilios, P., Sacchi, R., Lymberakis, P. (2014): Taxonomic reassessment of *Blanus strauchi* (Bedriaga, 1884) (Squamata: Amphisbaenia: Blanidae), with the description of a new species from south-east Anatolia (Turkey). Zootaxa **3795**: 311-326.
- Skourtanioti, E., Kapli, P., Ilgaz, Ç., Kumlutaş, Y., Avcı, A., Ahmadzadeh, F., Crnobrnja-Isailović, J., Gherghel, I., Lymberakis, P., Poulakakis, N. (2016): A reinvestigation of phylogeny and divergence times of the *Ablepharus kitaibelii* species complex (Sauria, Scincidae) based on mtDNA and nuDNA genes. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. **103**: 199-214.
- Šmíd, J., Moravec, J., Gvoždík, V., Štundl, J., Frynta, D., Lymberakis, P., Kapli, P., Wilms, T., Schmitz, A., Shobrak, M., Yousefkhani, S.H., Rastegar-Pouyani, E., Castilla, A.M., Els, J., Mayer, W. (2017): Cutting the gordian knot: phylogenetic and ecological diversification of the *Mesalina brevirostris* species complex (Squamata, Lacertidae). Zool. Scr. **46**: 649-664.
- Stamatakis, A. (2014): RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics **30**: 1312-1313.
- Stephens, M., Smith, N.J., Donnelly, P. (2001): A new statistical method for haplotype reconstruction from population data. Am. J. Hum. Genet 68: 978-989.
- Sukumaran, J., Knowles, L.L. (2017): Multispecies coalescent delimits structure, not species. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 114: 1607-1612.
- Sullivan, J., Joyce, P. (2005): Model selection in phylogenetics. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 36: 445-466.
- Swofford, D.L. (2003): PAUP\*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (\*and other methods) version 4. Sinauer, Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA. Nat. Biotechnol. 18: 233-234.

- Tamar, K., Carranza, S., in den Bosch, H., Sindaco, R., Moravec, J., Meiri, S. (2015): Hidden relationships and genetic diversity: molecular phylogeny and phylogeography of the Levantine lizards of the genus *Phoenicolacerta* (Squamata: Lacertidae). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. **91**: 86-97.
- Tamar, K., Carranza, S., Sindaco, R., Moravec, J., Trape, J.F., Meiri, S. (2016): Out of Africa: phylogeny and biogeography of the widespread genus *Acanthodactylus* (Reptilia: Lacertidae). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. **103**: 6-18.
- Tamura, K., Nei, M. (1993): Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 10: 512-526.
- Templeton, A.R., Crandall, K.A., Sing, C.F. (1992): A cladistic analysis of phenotypic associations with haplotypes inferred from restriction endonuclease mapping and DNA sequence data. III. Cladogram estimation. Genetics 132: 619-633.
- Veith, M., Göçmen, B., Sotiropoulos, K., Eleftherakos, K., Lötters, S., Godmann, O., Karış, M., Oğuz, A., Ehl, S. (2020): Phylogeographic analyses point to long-term survival on the spot in micro-endemic Lycian salamanders. PLoS One 15: 1-22.
- Veith, M., Göçmen, B., Sotiropoulos, K., Kieren, S., Godmann, O., Steinfartz, S. (2016): Seven at one blow: the origin of major lineages of the viviparous Lycian salamanders (*Lyciasalamandra* Veith and Steinfartz, 2004) was triggered by a single paleo-historic event. Amphib. Reptil. **37**: 373-387.
- Wagner, P., Greenbaum, E., Branch, W.R. (2015): Resolving sky island speciation in populations of *Adolfus alleni* in east Africa. Tortoise and terrapin phylogeography view project herpetology of Angola view project. Salamandra 50: 1-17.
- Walsh-Kennedy, S., Aksu, A.E., Hall, J., Hiscott, R.N., Yaltirak, C., Çifçi, G. (2014): Source to sink: the development of the latest Messinian to Pliocene-Quaternary Cilicia and Adana Basins and their linkages with the onland Mut Basin, eastern Mediterranean. Tectonophysics 622: 1-21.
- Yang, Z. (2006): Computational Molecular Evolution. Oxford University Press.
- Yang, Z., Rannala, B. (2010): Bayesian species delimitation using multilocus sequence data. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 107: 9264-9269.

Submitted: December 13, 2019. Final revision received: October 10, 2020. Accepted: January 4, 2021. Associate Editor: Karin Tamar.